

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/456,270	12/07/1999	BRUCE J. KOKKO	2130(FJ-99-1	6225
· -	90 09/10/2002	f		
MCHAEL W FERRELL ESQ FERRELLS LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 312 CLIFTON, VA			ALVO, MARC S	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/456,270	KOKKO, BRUCE J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steve Alvo	1731
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this could be supported by the period for reply specified above is less than thirt of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for really reply received by the Office later than three month armough the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N pply will, by statute, cause the application to become he after the mailing date of this communication.	thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>24 June</u> 2002 .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the properties of Claims	ion for allowance except for formal n actice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,13-15,18-20,31</u>	-35 and 56-61 is/are pending in the	annlication
	:/are withdrawn from consideration.	аррисацоп.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	on conclusion.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-10, 13-15, 18-20,</u>	31-35 and 56-61 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by t		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction fil	ed on is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are a		
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<u> </u>		
	y documents have been received.	A control at the same
	y documents have been received in	
	s of the priority documents have been mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies no	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	inguage provisional application has t	peen received
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5\ Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20, 31-35, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ADMITTED PRIOR ART (Formulation A or B of the IDS filed 10-15-2001) in view of BACK et al '681.

The ADMITTED PRIOR ART (Formulation A or B) teaches using the same formulation used by Applicant to treat paper pulp with a debonding composition (Formulation A or B) to make an absorbent sheet. BACK et al teaches that absorbent sheets can be made from recycle paper, e.g. newspapers. It would have been obvious to the artisan to use the recycled wood pulp of BACK et al as the wood pulp of the ADMITTED PRIOR ART. This would have been especially obvious as the debonding composition (Formulation B) is promoted by its manufacturer as being "Best on virgin and mixed virgin/recycled", see IDS page 2, last paragraph. Clearly Formulation B can be used on recycled pulp.

Claims 1-10, 12-20, 31-35, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over OSBORN, III '699 with or without BACK et al '681.

OSBORN, III teaches making an absorbent sheet from a web of fibrous material using a combination of a quaternary ammonium surfactant component and a non-ionic surfactant as debonding agent (column 5, lines 18-24) to produce a sheet having reduced (column 5, line 22)

Art Unit: 1731

tensile strength. It is well known to recycle newspaper to papermaking processes to provide an economic and environmentally friendly source of wood pulp material. If necessary, BACK et al teaches that absorbent sheets can be made from recycle paper, e.g. newspapers. OSBORN, III teaches that any variety of wood pulp can be used as the fibrous material. It would have been obvious to the artisan to use the recycled wood pulp of BACK et al as the wood pulp of OSBORN, III. It would be obvious to the artisan to adjust the amount of debonding materials needed to provide the proper amount of debonding. See OSBORN, III for using alkoxylated and ethoxylated fatty acids and alcohols, including PEG-9 oleate and PEG-4 dilaurate. The specific alkoxylated and ethoxylated fatty acids and alcohols appear to be commercially available. It would have been prima facie obvious to substitute one alkoxylated or ethoxylated fatty acid or alcohol surfactant for another.

Claims 11 and 31-35, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over OSBORN, III '699 with or without BACK et al '681, for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, and further in view of WENDT et al '839. The exact imidazolinium quaternary compound appears to be commercially available. It would have been prima facie obvious to substitute one imidazolinium quaternary surfactant for another.

WENDT et al '839 teaches a process very similar to OSBORN, III to produce a softened absorbent paper using a quaternary compound and a non-ionic surfactant. WENDT et al '839 teaches that using imidazolinium quaternary compounds as the debonding compound has the additional advantage of not adhering to the drying surface, which is a problem with other debonder/softening

Art Unit: 1731

agents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the imidazolinium quaternary compounds of WENDT et al '839 for the quaternary compound of OSBORN' III to prevent the debonding agent from adhering to the drying surface.

Applicant has argued that the prior art does not show the synergy shown in Table 1 of the instant Application. it is not clear what synergy Table 1 shows. Applicant argues that the instant formulation (Formulation B) exhibits a 20% (tensile reduction) and 32% (tensile reduction) compared to the 4% and 8% when PEG-6 dilaurate was used alone. It appears Applicant is arguing that the second to last column uses PEG-6 dilaurate alone. It is not clear where this is disclosed in the specification. It is not seen how "tensile reduction" is an improvement as tensile strength is normally a desired characteristic of the pulp. Besides this is not a comparison to the closest Prior Art, e.g. OSBORN, III '699 teaches using a combination of a quaternary ammonium surfactants and nonionic surfactant (column 5, lines 18-24), including PEG dilaurates (column 4, lines 52-60). In column 8, lines 20, OSBORN, III; '699 teaches that using quaternary ammonium compounds and nonionic surfactants produced paper towels significantly more absorbent and significantly softer than the control paper towels. Such any improved results resulting from the

Art Unit: 1731

combination of a quaternary ammonium surfactant and nonionic surfactant would be expected from the teachings of OSBORN, III; '699. In addition Applicant has argued the improved results of Formulation B. This formulation used a very specific composition, e.g. a 1:9 ratio of "di-(2-hydroyethyl methyloctadecylammonium chloride" and "dimethyl-ditallowammonium chloride, formulated with 33% PEG-6-dilaurate". Also Formulation B was used on "100% recycle pulp" in the Table.

None of the claims are drawn this formulation, e.g. 1:9 ratio of di-(2-hydroyethyl methyloctadecylammonium chloride and dimethyl-ditallowammonium chloride, formulated with 33% PEG-6-dilaurate and only claim 57 is limited to 100 recycle material.

Table 3 presented by Applicant does not indicate what specific PEG's were used. TABLE 8 uses a single data poin t for R=18. This is not enough data to determine unexpected results. Besides, as set forth above OSBORN, III '699 teaches using PEG dilaurates (column 4, lines 52-60).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

Art Unit: 1731

Non-Final Fax:

(703) 872-9310 After-Final FAX: (703) 872-9311

When filing an "Unofficial" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Unofficial" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "Unofficial" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the primary examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2048. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 703-308-3837.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The Customer Services Center for Technology Center 1700 (703-306-5665) shall provide the following service assistance to external and internal customers in the areas listed below.

Services provided:

Page 7

Art Unit: 1731

Patent Application Filing Receipts
Missing References
Information regarding When Action can be Expected
Lost/Misplaced/Requested Application retrieval
Retrieval from PTO Publication Branch
Retrieval from non-Publication Branch
Status Queries (written or oral)
Paper Matching Queries
Certificates of Correction
Printer Waiting

The Customer Service Office, TC 1700, is located in CP3-8-D13, and is open to receive requests for service in person, by phone (703) 306-5665, or E-mail "Customer Service 1700" from 8:30 am-5:00 pm each business day. The Customer Services Center is part of the Special Programs Office of TC 1700 and will be staffed by the Technical Information Specialists who will serve as Customer Service Representatives (CSR): Carolyn E. Johnson, Marshall Gaddis, Bessie Bowie, Lucy Jones.

MSA January 30, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1731